





- Inform "buy" decision
- Convey secure settings
- Feed confidence and assessments
- Lead to more secure software
- Contact
 - Daniel G. Wolf
 - Software Assurance Consortium
 - Paul E. Black
 - NIST





Possible Content: People/Process

- People
 - Is there code accountability or responsibility assigned?
 - Is there a trained, certified, or accredited application security "Software Engineer"?
- Process
 - Secure coding practices followed
 - Was a threat model defined?
 - Are there requirements?
 - Testing methodology
 - Black box, unit security testing, penetration testing, ...
 - Tested on what platforms?
 - Code reviewed? other than by developers? for security?
 - Static analysis

Possible Content: Software Itself

- Pedigree: amount from libraries, from Open Source, compiler
- Design: encryption, single points of failure, architecture signed off by app sec certified software engineer
- Provenance: protection of code in supply chain
- Traits: all communication over SSL, uses Internet or email
- Size: lines of code, function points, number of modules
- What and where are configuration files?
- % "banned" APIs, # "unforgivable vulnerabilities"

Audiences & Scope



- General applications running on general purpose hardware (accounting package on a "PC")
- 2. Integrators (incoming software is just one piece)
- 3. Naïve home users (my brother)
 - General applications (not OS or security-specific)